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#### Abstract

The paper computes the exact fault diameter of the Cayley graphs based on the alternating group. The fault diameter of a graph is the maximum diameter achieved when we delete from the original graph any set of nodes that is smaller than its node connectivity. Based on the algebraic properties of the generators, we show that the fault diameter is the original diameter plus one.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Group graphs provide a rich framework for the design of the topology of interconnection networks. Famous network topologies such as hypercube[4], star graphs[1], etc. are all able to be modeled as Cayley graphs[2]. Alternating group graphs[8], introduced by Jwo, Lakshmivarahan and Dhall, are Cayley graphs based on the alternating groups. They have shown that alternating group graphs are edge symmetry, 2 -transitive, Hamiltonian and strongly hierarchical. They also describe algorithms for embedding of a class of multidimensional grids with unit expansion and dilation three and embedding of a variety of cycles with unit dilation. The simulations of greedy routing on hypercubes, star graphs and alternating group graph have been evaluated. It shows that alternating group graphs offer the best performance with respect to delay time and maximum queue length.

The alternating group graphs are defined as follows. Let $\left\langle n>=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, p=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n}, p_{\mathrm{i}} \in\left\langle n>\right.\right.$ and $p_{\mathrm{i}} \neq p_{j}$ for $i \neq \mathrm{j}$, where $p_{i}$ denotes the element at position $i$ for $1 \leq i \leq$ $n$. That is, $p$ is a permutation of $\langle n\rangle$. The permutation $p$ can also be represented by its cycle structure as

$$
p=c_{1} c_{2} \ldots c_{k} e_{1} e_{2} \ldots e_{l},
$$

where $c_{i}$ is a cycle of length $\left|c_{i}\right| \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $e_{i}$ is an invariant for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Thus, $n=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|c_{i}\right|+l$. For convenience, the set of all invariants may be omitted in the cycle representation of $p$. For example, the cycle
structure of permutation 132546 is $(23)(45)(1)(6)$, where (1) and (6) are invariants and may be omitted. Let $\zeta(p)$ denote the number of inversions in $p$. The parity of $p$ is defined as $\eta(p)=(-1)^{\zeta(p)}$. A permutation is called even or odd depending on its parity being +1 or -1 . Thus, 132546 is an even permutation.

Let $S_{n}$ be the symmetric group, i.e., $S_{n}$ contains all the permutations of $n$ elements. The alternating group $A_{n}$ contains the set of all even permutations of $S_{n}$, where $\left|A_{n}\right|$ $=n!/ 2$. Let $g_{i}+=(12 i), g_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array} 2\right)$ and $\Omega=\left\{g_{i}+\mid 3 \leq i \leq\right.$ $n\} \cup\left\{g_{i^{-}} \mid 3 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. It is well known that $\Omega$ is a generator set for $A_{n}$.

Definition 1. An alternating group graph of dimension $n$, $A G_{n}=\left(V_{n}, E_{n}\right)$, is defined as
$V_{n}=A_{n}$, the set of all even permutations of $\langle n\rangle$ and
$E_{n}=\left\{(p, q) \mid p, q \in A_{n}, q=p \cdot h\right.$, for $\left.h \in \Omega\right\}$, where "." is the usual binary combination operator defined as $f \cdot g(x)=f(g(\mathrm{x}))$.

An important parameter for graphs is their fault tolerance and fault diameter. The fault tolerance of a graph is defined as the maximum number of nodes that can be removed from it provided that the remaining graph is still connected. Hence, the fault tolerance of a graph is defined to be one less than its connectivity. By the theorems proved in [5], the connectivity of the alternating group graphs is optimal, i.e., equal to the degree.

Fault diameter $d^{f}$ of the graph $G$ with fault tolerance $f$ is defined as the maximum diameter of any graph obtained from $G$ by deleting at most $f$ nodes. If an interconnection network is to be used as a multicomputer communication medium, it is very important that its fault diameter is close to its normal diameter. A family of graphs $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ is defined to be strongly resilient if the fault diameter $d_{n}{ }^{f}$ of any member of the family $G_{n}$ is at $\operatorname{most} d_{n}+k$, where $d_{n}$ is the normal diameter of $G_{n}$ and $k$ is a constant independent of $n$.

We will show that for the alternating group graphs, the fault diameter is the original diameter plus one.

## 2. BACKGROUND

The $n$ dimensional alternating group graph $A G_{n}$ is a regular graph with degree $2(n-2),\left|V_{n}\right|=n!/ 2,\left|E_{n}\right|=(n-2)$ $\times n!/ 2$, diameter $d_{n}=\lfloor 3 \times(n-2) / 2\rfloor$, and the connectivity is $2(n-2)$. Alternating group graphs have a highly recursive structure. $A G_{n}$ is made up of $n$ copies of $A G_{n-l}$.

An understanding of the routing algorithm is essential for our development. Let $s, d \in A_{n}$. By definition, ( $\mathrm{s}, d$ ) $\in E_{n}$ if and only if $d=s \cdot h, h \in \Omega$. By extending the notion of an edge in $A G_{n}$, the path from $s$ to $d$ can be represented by a sequence of generators:

$$
\operatorname{Path}(s, d)=h_{1} \cdot h_{2} \cdots h_{t},
$$

that is, $d=s \cdot h_{1} \cdot h_{2} \cdots h_{t}$, where $h_{i} \in \Omega$ for $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq t$. By group theory, we have

$$
I=d^{-1} \cdot s \cdot h_{1} \cdot h_{2} \cdots h_{t}
$$

Let $p=d^{-1} \cdot s$. Then the properties of path from $s$ to $d$ can be analyzed by considering the path from $p$ to $I$. So we can focus how to route from $p$ to $I$ only, and the routing is equivalent to sorting a permutation.

Consider what is changed by a routing step on $g_{i}+$ and $g_{i}-, 3 \leq i \leq n$. Clear, they rotate the three symbols at position 1,2 and $i$ with different directions.


As shown in the above figure, $g_{i}+$ moves the symbol at position $i$ to position 2, the symbol at position 2 to position 1, and the symbol at position 1 to position i ; while $g_{i}$ - moves the symbol at position $i$ to position 1 , the symbol at position 1 to position 2, and the symbol at position 2 to position $i$. Obviously, $g_{i}+g_{i}+=g_{i}{ }^{-}, g_{i^{-}} g_{i}-$ $=g_{i}+$ and $\left(g_{i}+\right)^{-1}=g_{i}-, 3 \leq i \leq n$. To find the shortest path from $p$ to $I$ is to optimally sort the set of symbols in $p$ using the basic rotations. (We say symbol $i$ is sorted if it is at position $i$.) Notice that there is no need to sort symbol 1 and 2, they will be automatically sorted after sorting symbol $3,4, \ldots, n$ because it should be an even permutation.

The shortest path routing algorithm from $p=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{n}$ to $I$ may be described as follows: Let $p^{\prime}$ be the first node on the shortest path from $p$ to $I$. Then,
(1) $p^{\prime}=p \cdot g_{i}+$ or $p^{\prime}=p \cdot g_{i}-$ for some $i$, where $i$ is a noninvariant if $p_{1}, p_{2} \in\{1,2\}$;
(2) $p^{\prime}=p \cdot g_{i}+$, where $i=p_{1}$ if $p_{1} \notin\{1,2\}$;
(3) $p^{\prime}=p \cdot g_{i}{ }^{-}$, where $i=p_{2}$ if $p_{2} \notin\{1,2\}$.

Repeat the above process until the path reaches the node $I$.

As an example, let $p=14523=(24)(53)$. A shortest path from $p$ to $I$ is as follows:

$$
14523 g_{4}-21543 g_{3}+15243 g_{5}-31245 g_{3}+12345
$$

Let $D_{p}$ denote the length measured in terms of the number of edges in the shortest path from $p$ to $I$. We have the following lemma [8].

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
\text { Lemma 2. If } p=c_{1} c_{2} \ldots c_{k} e_{1} e_{2} \ldots e_{l} \in A_{n} \text {, then } \\
\qquad \begin{aligned}
D_{p} & =n+k-l & & \text { if } p_{1}=1 \text { and } p_{2}=2 \\
& =n+k-l-3 & & \text { if } p_{1}=2 \text { and } p_{2}=1 \\
& =n+k-l-2 & & \text { if } p_{1} \neq 1 \text { and } p_{2}=2 \\
& =n+k-l-2 & & \text { if } p_{1}=1 \text { and } p_{2} \neq 2 \\
& =n+k-l-3 & & \text { if } 1,2 \in c_{i} \text { for some } i \text { and }\left|c_{i}\right| \geq 3 \\
& =n+k-l-4 & & \text { if } 1 \in c_{i} \text { and } 2 \in c_{j}, i \neq j .
\end{aligned}
\end{array}
$$

The result of routing is obtained in the form of a product of generators. Since the product of two generators of alternating group graphs is not commutative, there is no trivial rule for finding alternative paths of the same length. However, like star graphs [6], rules for finding the alternative paths in an alternating group graph can be based on the concepts of "ordinary" and "barrel" products of generators. Ordinary and barrel products are basic parts of any shortest path that can be manipulated in order to obtain alternative paths. The whole analysis relies on the mapping of each generator to the corresponding movement of symbols. Therefore, the complex product can be tracked as a set of movements of symbols.

Let $\sigma(i)$ denote the sign + if $i$ is even, or the sign - if $i$ is odd.

Definition 3. The initial product is the product of the following form: for some integer $l$,

$$
h_{1}{ }^{\sigma(l)} h_{2}^{\sigma(l+1)} \cdots h_{n}^{\sigma(l+n-1)} .
$$

Definition 4. The ordinary product is any product that is an arbitrary permutation of an arbitrary subset of $k$ distinct-index generators with alternating signs from the initial product.

Definition 5. The barrel product of generator is obtained when the first generator of an ordinary product is appended at the end of that product with alternating signs, i.e., the product of the following form:

$$
h_{1}{ }^{\sigma(i)} h_{2}{ }^{\sigma(i+1)} \cdots h_{n}{ }^{\sigma(i+n-1)} h_{1}{ }^{\sigma(i+n)} .
$$

The properties of the barrel product are exposed in the following lemma and its corollaries.

Lemma 6. For $A G_{n}$, the following holds. (Inverting signs)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{3}+g_{4}-\cdots g_{n-2}{ }^{\sigma(n-3)} g_{n-1}{ }^{\sigma(n-2)} g_{n}^{\sigma(n-1)} g_{3}{ }^{\sigma(n)} \\
= & g_{3}-g_{4}+\cdots g_{n-2}{ }^{\sigma(n-2)} g_{n-1}{ }^{\sigma(n-1)} g_{n}^{\sigma(n)} g_{3}^{\sigma(n+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 7. For $A G_{n}$, the following holds. (Rotation)
$\begin{aligned} & g_{3}+g_{4}-\cdots g_{n-2}{ }^{\sigma(n-3)} g_{n-1}{ }^{\sigma(n-2)} g_{n}{ }^{\sigma(n-1)} g_{3}{ }_{3}{ }^{\sigma(n)} \\ = & g_{4}-g_{5}+\cdots g_{n-1}{ }^{\sigma(n-4)} g_{n}{ }^{\sigma(n-3)} g_{3}{ }^{\sigma(n-2)} g_{4}{ }^{\sigma(n-1)}\end{aligned}$
$=g_{n-1}{ }^{\sigma(n-2)} g_{n}{ }^{\sigma(n-1)} g_{3}{ }^{\sigma(n)} g_{4}{ }^{\sigma(n+1)} \cdots g_{n-3}{ }^{\sigma(2 n-6)} g_{n-2}{ }^{\sigma(2 n-5)} g_{n-1}{ }^{\sigma(2 n-4)}$.
Corollary 8. For $A G_{n}$, let $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}$ be distinct integers in $\langle n\rangle-\{1,2\}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{t_{1}}+g_{t_{2}}-\cdots g_{t_{m}}{ }^{\sigma(m-1)} g_{t_{1}}{ }^{\sigma(m)} \\
= & g_{t_{1}}-g_{t_{2}}+\cdots g_{t_{m}}{ }^{\sigma(m)} g_{t_{1}(m+1)}^{\sigma(m)} \\
= & g_{t_{2}}+\cdots g_{t_{m}}{ }^{\sigma(m-2)} g_{t_{1}}{ }^{\sigma(m-1)} g_{t_{2}}{ }^{\sigma(m)} \\
= & \cdots \\
= & g_{t_{m}}+g_{t_{1}}-\cdots g_{t_{m-1}}{ }^{\sigma(m-1)} g_{t_{m}}{ }^{\sigma(m)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, Lemmas 6 and 7 hold when an arbitrary ordinary product is substituted for the ordinary part of barrel product. A barrel product of $k$ distinct-index generators can be represented in $2 k$ different ways, all preserving the same cyclic ordering of generators.

Definition 9. Let $\Pi=h_{1}{ }^{\sigma(l)} h_{2}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} \cdots h_{m}{ }^{\sigma(l+m-1)}$ be an ordinary or barrel product. The invert-sign product $\Pi^{-}$of $\Pi$ is $h_{1}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} h_{2}{ }^{\sigma(l+2)} \ldots h_{m}{ }^{\sigma(l+m)}$. The positive-sign product $\Pi^{+}$ of $\Pi$ is $\Pi$ itself.

The following two lemmas introduce the law of commutativity between the ordinary and barrel subproducts of generators.

Lemma 10. For $A G_{n}$, let $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}$ be distinct integers in $<n>-\{1,2\}$. Then,
$g_{t_{1}}+g_{t_{2}}-\cdots g_{t_{\mathrm{j}}}{ }^{\sigma(j-1)} g_{t_{1}}{ }^{\sigma(j)} g_{t_{j+1}}{ }^{\sigma(j+1)} g_{t_{j+2}}{ }^{\sigma(j+2)} \cdots g_{t_{m}}{ }^{\sigma(m)} g_{t_{i+1}}{ }^{\sigma(m+1)}$
$=\frac{g_{t_{j+1}}+g_{t_{j+2}}-\cdots g_{t_{m}}{ }^{\sigma(m-j-1)} g_{t_{j+1}}{ }_{g_{t_{\mathrm{j}}(m)}^{\sigma(m) j}}{ }^{\sigma\left(\sigma_{t_{1}}{ }^{\sigma(m+1)}\right.}}{} g_{t_{1}}{ }^{\sigma(m-j+1)} g_{t_{2}}{ }^{\sigma(m-j+2)} \cdots$
$=g_{t_{1}}+\underset{g_{t_{2}}-\cdots g_{t_{i}}^{\sigma(i-1)}}{g_{\sigma(i+m-j+1)}^{g_{t_{j+1}}{ }^{\sigma(i)} g_{t_{j+2}}{ }^{\sigma(i+1)} \cdots g_{t_{m}}{ }^{\sigma(i+m-j-1)} g_{t_{j+1}}^{\sigma(i+m-j)}} \underset{\sigma(m)}{\sigma(m+1)}}$ $g_{t_{i+1}}{ }^{\sigma(i+m-j+1)} \cdots g_{t_{\mathrm{j}}}{ }^{\sigma(m)} g_{t_{1}}{ }^{\sigma(m+1)}$.

Lemma 11. For $A G_{n}$, let $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}$ be distinct integers in $<n>-\{1,2\}$. Then,
$g_{t_{1}}+g_{t_{2}}-\cdots g_{t_{j}}^{\sigma(j-1)} g_{t_{j+1}}{ }^{\sigma(j+1)} g_{t_{j+2}}{ }^{\sigma(j+2)} \cdots g_{t_{m}}{ }^{\sigma(m)} g_{t_{j+1}}{ }^{\sigma(m+1)}$
$=g_{t_{1}}+g_{t_{2}}-\cdots g_{t_{i}}{ }^{\sigma(i-1)} g_{t_{j+1}}{ }^{\sigma(i)} g_{t_{j+2}}{ }^{\sigma(i+1)} \cdots g_{t_{m}}{ }^{\sigma(i+m-j-1)} g_{t_{j+1}}{ }^{\sigma(i+m-j)}$
$\begin{aligned} & g_{t_{i+1}}{ }^{\sigma(i+m-j+1)} \cdots g_{t_{j}}{ }^{\sigma(m)} \\ = & g_{t_{j+1}}{ }^{\sigma(l)} g_{t_{j+2}}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} \cdots g_{t_{m}}{ }^{\sigma(l+m-j-1)} g_{t_{j+1}}{ }^{\sigma(l+m-j)} g_{t_{1}}{ }^{\sigma(m-j+1)} g_{t_{2}}{ }^{\sigma(m-j+2)} \\ & \cdots g_{t_{j}}{ }^{\sigma(m)} .\end{aligned}$
When commuting with a barrel product that contains odd number of generators, the ordinary product should turn to
its invert-signed product. The following example will illustrate the nesting of subproducts.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{\mathrm{O}} & =g_{3}+g_{4}-g_{3}+g_{5}+g_{6}- \\
& =g_{5}-g_{6}+g_{3}+g_{4}-g_{3}+ \\
& =g_{5}-g_{6}+g_{3}-g_{4}+g_{3}- \\
& =g_{5}-g_{3}-g_{4}+g_{3}-g_{6}- \\
& =g_{5}-g_{3}+g_{4}-g_{3}+g_{6}-.
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 12. The coupled ordinary product is a concatenation of two ordinary products such that all the generators are of distinct-index and their boundary is of the same sign, not alternating signs.

For example, $g_{3}+g_{4}-g_{5}-g_{6}+$ is a coupled ordinary product.
Lemma 13. Let $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$ are two ordinary products. Suppose that $\Pi_{1} \Pi_{2}$ is a coupled ordinary product. Then,

$$
\Pi_{1} \Pi_{2}=\Pi_{2}{ }^{\sigma(l)} \Pi_{1}{ }^{\sigma(m)},
$$

where $l$ and $m$ are the numbers of generators contained in $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2}$, respectively.

Definition 14. The generalized ordinary product is either an ordinary product or a coupled ordinary product.

Corollary 15. If some product of generators in $A G_{n}$, consists of $k$ products of distinct sets of generators

$$
\Pi_{0}=\Pi_{1} \cdot \Pi_{2} \cdots \Pi_{i} \cdots \Pi_{k}
$$

and if at most one of these products is a generalized ordinary one while all other products are of barrel type, then the ordering of products $\Pi_{i}$ in the overall product $\Pi_{0}$ is arbitrary except that the signs of the generalized ordinary one may be obligated to invert.

Theorem 16. Every product of arbitrary number of generators in $A G_{n}$ can be reduced to product of $k \geq 1$ subproducts of distinct sets of generators

$$
\Pi_{m}=\Pi_{1} \cdot \Pi_{2} \cdots \Pi_{i} \cdots \Pi_{k}
$$

in which at most one subproduct is a generalized ordinary one and all others are of barrel type. Product $\prod_{m}$ cannot be further reduced, therefore it has the form of "minimal" product of generators.

Without loss of generality, we let $\Pi_{1}$ be the generalized ordinary subproduct if it exists. Product $\Pi_{m}$ will be referred to as minimal product and it actually represents the routing function in $A G_{n}$. The diameter of $A G_{n}$ corresponds to the minimal product with the maximal number of generators. Since barrel product has the property of repeating the first generator, the maximal
length of the minimal product corresponds to the maximal number of barrel products in it.

Lemma 17. The maximal number $k$ of subproducts in a minimal product from Theorem 16 is $\lceil(n-2) / 2\rceil$.

## 3. FAULT DIAMETER

Let us consider two nodes $A$ and $B$ in $A G_{n}$ and some shortest paths $\Pi_{A B}$ between $A$ and $B$. Suppose $\Pi_{A B}$ $=\Pi_{1} \cdot \Pi_{2} \cdots \Pi_{i} \cdots \Pi_{k}$, which has determined subsets of generators and their relative ordering for each $\Pi_{i}$. In case no adjacent nodes or channels has failed, the message is free to leave the node along the first generator of the ordinary subpaths (with a proper sign), or along any of the generator that belongs to any barrel subpath from $\Pi_{A B}$, without increasing the length of the path. Now, suppose that $2(n-2)-1$ nodes that are adjacent to $A$ have failed, and that the connection with the rest of the network is only along the channel corresponding to generator $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}, 3 \leq o$ $\leq n$ and $l \in N$. In other words, the message is forced to leave the node $A$ only along the channel $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}$. Obviously, this tends to increase the path length between $A$ and $B$. As a result or analysis, we shall compute worst cases of path lengthening, i.e., the fault diameter of $A G_{n}$. We distinguish the following cases:
(1) $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}$ or $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}$ is a generator contained in $\Pi_{A B}$. Then we have the following five subcases.
(1.a) One of the barrel subproducts contains $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}$ or $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}$. According to cummutativity of subproducts in the "minimal" product (shortest path), this subproduct can be put at the beginning of the path. Then according to the known features of barrel products, this subproduct can be transformed to its equivalent have $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}$ at the beginning and at the end (with proper sign). Hence, a message can leave the node along $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}$ without increasing the length of the path. Let us consider for example, path $\Pi_{A B}=$ $g_{3}+g_{4}-g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+$ and $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}=g_{6}$. This path can be transformed in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{A B} & =g_{3}+g_{4}-g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+ \\
& =g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+g_{3}-g_{4}+ \\
& =g_{6}-g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{3}-g_{4}+.
\end{aligned}
$$

(1.b) $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}$ is at the beginning of the ordinary subpath. In this case, ordinary subpath can be placed at the beginning of the path, and message can again leave the node without lengthening the path. According to Lemma 13, we always assume that $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}$ or $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}$ is at the first ordinary subproduct of the generalized ordinary one without loss of generality.
(1.c) $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}$ is at the beginning of the ordinary subpath. In this case the original shortest path must be lengthened and transformed, keeping that the permutation that maps $A$ to $B$ is not changed. This can be achieved by using the inverting property of generators:
If the ordinary product contains only one generator,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{A B}^{\prime}=\Pi_{1} \cdot \Pi_{2} \cdots \Pi_{k} \\
& \quad=g_{o}{ }_{o}^{\sigma(l)}[\text { coup. }][\text { barr. } 1][\text { barr. } 2] \cdots[\text { barr. } k-1] \\
& \quad=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}[\text { coup. }][\text { barr. } 1][\text { barr.2] } \cdots[\text { barr. } \cdot k-1] \\
& \quad=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}[\text { barr. } 1] g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m+1)}[\text { coup. }]^{\sigma(m)}[\text { barr. } 2] \cdots[\text { barr. } \cdot k-1]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m$ is the number of generators in the barrel product [barr.1]. Therefore, the length of $\Pi^{\prime} A B$ is no more than that of $\Pi_{A B}$ plus one. In that case, the maximal length of $\Pi_{A B}$ is $d_{n}, n \geq 5$. Thus, the maximal length of $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is $d_{n}+1$. It is trivial if there is no couple or barrel product:

$$
\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}=g_{o}^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{o}^{\sigma(l+1)}
$$

For example, $\Pi_{A B}=g_{3}+g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+$ and $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}=g_{3}-$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{A B}^{\prime} & =g_{3}-g_{3}-g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+ \\
& =g_{3}-g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+g_{3}+.
\end{aligned}
$$

If there is only the couple product,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{A B}^{\prime} & =g_{o}{ }_{o}^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{o}^{\sigma(l+1)}[\text { coup. }] \\
& =g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{o}^{\sigma(l+1)}\left[g_{u}{ }^{\sigma(l)}\right. \text { coup.'] } \\
& =g_{o}{ }_{o}^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{o}^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{u}^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{u}^{\sigma(l+1)}[\text { coup.' }] \\
& =g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{u}{ }^{\sigma(l)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)} g_{u}^{\sigma(l+1)}[\text { coup.' }],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{u}{ }^{\sigma(l)}$ is the first generators in the couple product [coup.]. Therefore, the length of $\Pi^{\prime} A B$ is no more than that of $\Pi_{A B}$ plus two. In that case, the maximal length of $\Pi_{A B}$ is $n-2, n \geq 4$. The maximal length of $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is $n$.
For example, $\Pi_{A B}=g_{3}+g_{5}+g_{6}$ and $g_{o}^{\sigma(l)}=g_{3}-$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{A B}^{\prime} & =g_{3}-g_{3}-g_{5}+g_{6}- \\
& =g_{3}-g_{3}-g_{5}-g_{5}-g_{6}- \\
& =g_{3}-g_{5}+g_{3}+g_{5}-g_{6}-
\end{aligned}
$$

Otherwise,
$\Pi^{\prime}{ }_{A B}=\Pi_{1} \cdot \Pi_{2} \cdots \Pi_{k}$
$=\left[g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}\right.$ ord. $][$ coup. $]\left[\right.$ barr $\left._{1}\right]\left[\right.$ barr $\left._{2}\right] \cdots$ [barr. $\left.{ }_{k-1}\right]$
$=\left[g_{o}^{\sigma(l)}\right.$ ord.] $g_{o}^{\sigma(l+m+1)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m)}$ [coup.] [barr. 1$][$ barr. 2$] \cdots$

$$
\left[\text { barr. }_{k-1}\right]
$$

$$
=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} \text { ord. } .^{-} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m)}[\text { coup. }]\left[\operatorname{barr}_{1}\right]\left[\operatorname{barr}_{2}\right] \cdots\left[\operatorname{barr}_{k-1}\right]
$$

$$
\left.=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} \text { ord. }^{-} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m+1)}[\text { coup. }][\text { barr. }]\right]\left[\text { barr. }_{2}\right] \cdots\left[\text { barr. }_{k-1}\right]
$$

where $m$ is the number of generators in the ordinary product [ord.]. Therefore, the length of $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is no more than that of $\Pi_{A B}$ plus one. The maximal length of $\Pi^{\prime}{ }_{A B}$
is $d_{n}, n \geq 4$. The maximal length of $\prod_{A B}^{\prime}$ is $d_{n}+1$. For example, $\Pi_{A B}=g_{3}+g_{4}-g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+$ and $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}=g_{3}-$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{A B}^{\prime} & =g_{3}+g_{4}-g_{3}+g_{3}-g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+ \\
& =g_{3}-g_{4}+g_{3}-g_{3}-g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+ \\
& =g_{3}-g_{4}+g_{3}+g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+
\end{aligned}
$$

(1.d) $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}$ is contained in the ordinary subpath but not at the first position. The original shortest path must be lengthened and transformed.
$\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}=\left[\right.$ ord. ${ }^{\prime} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma()}$ ord."][coup.][barr. $][$ barr. 2$] \cdots[$ barr. $\cdot k-1]$ $=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m+1)}$ ord. ${ }^{\prime} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}$ ord." [coup.] [barr.1] [barr.2] $\cdots[$ barr. $k-1$ ],
where $m$ is the number of generators in the ordinary product ord.'. The enclosed subproduct is now of barrel type. If $m$ is even, $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m)}=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}$ and the enclosed subproduct can be rotated into form that begins with some generator from ord.'. Therefore, the length of $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is no more than that of $\prod_{A B}$ plus two. In that case, the maximal length of $\Pi_{A B}$ is $3+\lfloor 3(n-5) / 2\rfloor, n \geq 5$. The maximal length of $\prod_{A B}^{\prime}$ is $5+\lfloor 3(n-5) / 2\rfloor=2+\lfloor 3(n-3) / 2\rfloor$. If $m$ is odd, we can invert the sign of the enclosed subproduct as follows.
$\Pi_{A B^{\prime}}=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m)}$ ord.' $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}$ ord." [coup.] [barr.1]
[barr.2] ‥ [barr. ${ }^{k-1}$ ]
$=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(t)}$ ord. ${ }^{\prime} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}$ ord." [coup.] [barr. 1$][$ barr.2] $\cdots$
[barr. ${ }_{k-1}$ ].
Therefore, the length of $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is no more than that of $\Pi_{A B}$ plus one. In that case, the maximal length of $\Pi_{A B}$ is $2+\lfloor 3(n-4) / 2\rfloor, n \geq 4$. The maximal length of $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is $3+\lfloor 3(n-4) / 2\rfloor=\lfloor 3(n-2) / 2\rfloor=d_{n}$.
(1.e) $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}$ is contained in the ordinary subpath but not at the first position. We can treat this case almost the same way to (1.d).
$\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}=\left[\right.$ ord. ${ }^{\prime} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}$ ord." $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right][$ coup. $]\left[\right.$ barr. $\left.{ }_{1}\right]\left[\right.$ barr. $\left.{ }_{2}\right] \cdots\left[\right.$ barr. $\left.{ }_{k-1}\right]$ $=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m+1)} g_{o} g^{\sigma(l+m+2)}$ ord. ${ }^{\prime} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}$ ord." [coup.] [barr. ${ }^{(1]}$ [barr.2] $\cdots$ [barr. $k-1$ ],
where $m$ is the number of generators in the ordinary product ord.'. The enclosed subproduct is now of barrel type. If $m$ is odd, $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(t+m+1)}=g_{o}{ }_{o}{ }^{\sigma(1)}$ and the enclosed subproduct can be rotated into form that begins with some generator from ord.'. Therefore, the length of $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is no more than that of $\Pi_{A B}$ plus two. In that case, the maximal length of $\Pi_{A B}$ is $2\lfloor\lfloor 3(n-4) / 2\rfloor, n \geq 4$. The maximal
length of $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is $4+\lfloor 3(n-4) / 2\rfloor=1+\lfloor 3(n-2) / 2\rfloor=d_{n}+1$. If $m$ is even, we can invert the sign of the enclosed subproduct as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m+1)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+m+1)} \text { ord. }{ }^{\prime} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)} \text { ord." [coup.][barr. } 1\right] \\
& \text { [barr.2] } \cdots \text { [barr. }{ }_{k-1} \text { ] } \\
& =g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(t)} \text { ord. }{ }^{-} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)} \text { ord." [coup.] [barr.1] [barr.2] ... } \\
& \text { [barr. } \cdot \mathrm{k}-1 \text { ]. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the length of $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is no more than that of $\Pi_{A B}$ plus one. In that case, the maximal length of $\Pi_{A B}$ is $3+\lfloor 3(n-5) / 2\rfloor, n \geq 5$. The maximal length of $\Pi^{\prime}{ }_{A B}$ is thus $4+\lfloor 3(n-5) / 2\rfloor=1+\lfloor 3(n-3) / 2\rfloor$.
(2) Neither $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(t)}$ nor $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(t+1)}$ is a generator contained in $\Pi_{A B}$. Then we have two different cases.
(2.a) If $\Pi_{A B}$ conatins at least one barrel subproduct. (It may optionally contain ordinary subproduct but this does not affect the analysis). In that case we again use inverting property of generators to lengthen the path without changing the mapping between $A$ and $B$.

$$
\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma()} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(+1)}[\text { ord. ][coup.][barr.]. }
$$

Since $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(t+1)}$ is not contained in subproducts in $\Pi_{A B}$, one barrel product can be nested between $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(t)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}$ and $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is given the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{A B}^{\prime}=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)} g_{o}{ }_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} \text { [ord.][barr.][coup.] }{ }^{\sigma(m)} \\
& =g_{o}{ }_{o}^{\sigma(l)} g_{o}{ }_{o}^{\sigma(l+1)}[\text { barr. }][\text { ord. }]^{\sigma(m)}[\text { coup. }]^{\sigma(n)} \\
& =g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)} \text { [barr.] } g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(m+l+1)} \text { [ord.] }{ }^{\sigma(m)} \text { [coup.] }{ }^{\sigma(m)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m$ is the number of generators in the barrel product [barr.]. The maximal length of $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is $d_{n-1}+2$. This value is also a candidate for fault diameter since $d_{n-1}+2 \geq$ $d_{n}$. For example, $\Pi_{A B}=g_{3}+g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+$ and $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)}=g_{4}$. Then, $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ is obtained in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{A B}^{\prime} & =g_{4}-g_{4}+g_{3}+g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+ \\
& =g_{4}-g_{4}+g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+g_{3}- \\
& =g_{4}-g_{5}+g_{6}-g_{5}+g_{4}-g_{3}-
\end{aligned}
$$

(2.b) If $\Pi_{A B}$ contains only a generalized ordinary subproduct. In that case we must append a pair of $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(t)}$ and $g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(+1)}$ at the beginning and at the end of $\Pi_{A B}$ in order to form a barrel product. If the number of generators in the ordinary product is odd,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{A B}^{\prime}=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{o}{ }_{o}{ }^{\sigma(t)} \text { [ord.] } g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} \text { [coup.] } \\
& =g_{o}{ }_{o}(l+1) g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)}[\text { ord. }]^{-} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} \text { [coup.] } \\
& \left.=g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)} \text { [ord.] }\right]^{-}{ }^{\sigma}{ }^{\sigma(l)} \text { [coup.]. }
\end{aligned}
$$

If the number of generators in the ordinary subproduct is even,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{A B}^{\prime} & =g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+l)} g_{o}{ }_{o}^{\sigma(l)} \text { [ord.] } g_{o}{ }_{o}^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)} \text { [coup.] } \\
& =g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} \text { [ord.] } g_{o} \sigma(l) \\
g_{o} \sigma(l) & \text { [coup.] } \\
& =g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l)} \text { [ord.] } g_{o}{ }^{\sigma(l+1)} \text { [coup.]. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Evidently, this path transformation increases its length by 2. The maximum length of $\prod_{A B}^{\prime}$ is $n-3+2=n-1$.

## 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Since $d_{n}$ is not a linear function of $n$, it seems convenient to determine the fault diameter by representing the candidates in tabular form, as shown in Table 1. From Table 1, we can conclude that $d_{n}^{f}=d_{n}+1$ for $A G_{n}$, i.e., the fault diameter of the alternating graphs is optimal.
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Table 1. The maximum lengths of $\Pi_{A B}^{\prime}$ and the fault diameters $d^{f}$.

| $A G_{n}$ | $(1 . \mathrm{a})$ <br> $(1 . \mathrm{b})$ | $(1 . \mathrm{c})$ | $(1 . \mathrm{d})$ <br> $m$ even | $(1 . \mathrm{d})$ <br> $m$ odd | (1.e) <br> $m$ odd | $(1 . \mathrm{e})$ <br> $m$ even | $(2 . \mathrm{a})$ | $(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | $d^{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $d_{n}$ | $d_{n}+1$ | $\lfloor 3(\mathrm{n}-3) / 2\rfloor+2$ | $\lfloor 3(\mathrm{n}-2) / 2\rfloor$ | $\lfloor 3(\mathrm{n}-2) / 2\rfloor+1$ | $\lfloor 3(\mathrm{n}-3) / 2\rfloor+1$ | $d_{n-1}+2$ | $n-1$ | $d_{n}+1$ |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 7 |
| 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 8 |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 10 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 11 |
| 10 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 13 |
| 11 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 10 | 14 |
| 12 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 16 |

